

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Taranjit Singh,
R/o H.No.33, Adarsh Nagar
Tarehti, PO Shahpur Kandi
District Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-

O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

AC No.2034 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri Ashwani Kumar, ASI I/c RTI, O/o SSP Pathankot
on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard Through Video Conferencing)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.10.2020 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today through V.C. in D.C. Office, Pathankot. Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C.
3. ASI Ashwani Kumar, ASI, Incharge, RTI O/o SSP Pathankot comes present to attend the hearing. He states that as per direction of Bench, the appellant was called to their office and was shown all the relevant records. After inspection of the records, he was supplied the information identified by him to his satisfaction. He further states that an affidavit has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2020.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant accepts having received the information and states that he was shown certain official documents related to the case. He further states that the PIO has provided him one affidavit in all the five cases and that too, on a simple paper whereas it should have been a separate affidavit for each appeal case on a non-judicial stamp paper.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2034/2020

5. The Bench observes that the contention of the appellant regarding a single affidavit being given in all five appeal cases on a simple paper not being acceptable, is correct. Post deliberations with the representative of PIO as well as the appellant, the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on a non judicial stamp paper in the instant appeal case separately duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2034/2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original copy of affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the PIO assures to provide separate affidavits in each case on non-judicial stamp paper, but he seeks three weeks' time to comply with the order of the Bench. Accordingly, the PIO is given one month' time to do the needful.

7. With the aforesaid directions and the assurance given by the representative of PIO, **the instant appeal case is disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Taranjit Singh,
R/o H.No.33, Adarsh Nagar
Tarehti, PO Shahpur Kandi
District Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

AC No.2036 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri ASI Ashwani Kumar, I/c RTI, O/o SSP Pathankot
on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard Through Video Conferencing)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.10.2020 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today through V.C. in D.C. Office, Pathankot. Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C.
3. ASI Ashwani Kumar, ASI, Incharge, RTI O/o SSP Pathankot comes present to attend the hearing. He states that as per direction of Bench, the appellant was called to their office and was shown all the relevant records. After inspection of the records, he was supplied the information identified by him to his satisfaction. He further states that an affidavit has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2020.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant accepts having received the information and states that he was shown certain official documents related to the case. He further states that the PIO has provided him one affidavit in all the five cases and that too, on a simple paper whereas it should have been a separate affidavit for each appeal case on a non-judicial stamp paper.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2036/2020

5. The Bench observes that the contention of the appellant regarding a single affidavit being given in all five appeal cases on a simple paper not being acceptable, is correct. Post deliberations with the representative of PIO as well as the appellant, the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on a non judicial stamp paper in the instant appeal case separately duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2036/2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original copy of affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the PIO assures to provide separate affidavits in each case on non-judicial stamp paper, but he seeks three weeks' time to comply with the order of the Bench. Accordingly, the PIO is given one month' time to do the needful.

7. With the aforesaid directions and the assurance given by the representative of PIO, **the instant appeal case is disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Taranjit Singh,
R/o H.No.33, Adarsh Nagar
Tarehti, PO Shahpur Kandi
District Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

AC No.2035 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri ASI Ashwani Kumar, I/c RTI, O/o SSP Pathankot
on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard Through Video Conferencing)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.10.2020 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today through V.C. in D.C. Office, Pathankot. Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C.
3. ASI Ashwani Kumar, ASI, Incharge, RTI O/o SSP Pathankot comes present to attend the hearing. He states that as per direction of Bench, the appellant was called to their office and was shown all the relevant records. After inspection of the records, he was supplied the information identified by him to his satisfaction. He further states that an affidavit has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2020.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant accepts having received the information and states that he was shown certain official documents related to the case. He further states that the PIO has provided him one affidavit in all the five cases and that too, on a simple paper whereas it should have been a separate affidavit for each appeal case on a non-judicial stamp paper.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2035/2020

5. The Bench observes that the contention of the appellant regarding a single affidavit being given in all five appeal cases on a simple paper not being acceptable, is correct. Post deliberations with the representative of PIO as well as the appellant, the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on a non judicial stamp paper in the instant appeal case separately duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2035/2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original copy of affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the PIO assures to provide separate affidavits in each case on non-judicial stamp paper, but he seeks three weeks' time to comply with the order of the Bench. Accordingly, the PIO is given one month' time to do the needful.

7. With the aforesaid directions and the assurance given by the representative of PIO, **the instant appeal case is disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Taranjit Singh,
R/o H.No.33, Adarsh Nagar
Tarehti, PO Shahpur Kandi
District Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

.....Respondents

AC No.2038 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri ASI Ashwani Kumar, I/c RTI, O/o SSP Pathankot
on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard Through Video Conferencing)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.10.2020 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today through V.C. in D.C. Office, Pathankot. Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C.
3. ASI Ashwani Kumar, ASI, Incharge, RTI O/o SSP Pathankot comes present to attend the hearing. He states that as per direction of Bench, the appellant was called to their office and was shown all the relevant records. After inspection of the records, he was supplied the information identified by him to his satisfaction. He further states that an affidavit has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2020.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant accepts having received the information and states that he was shown certain official documents related to the case. He further states that the PIO has provided him one affidavit in all the five cases and that too, on a simple paper whereas it should have been a separate affidavit for each appeal case on a non-judicial stamp paper.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2038/2020

5. The Bench observes that the contention of the appellant regarding a single affidavit being given in all five appeal cases on a simple paper not being acceptable, is correct. Post deliberations with the representative of PIO as well as the appellant, the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on a non judicial stamp paper in the instant appeal case separately duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2038/2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original copy of affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the PIO assures to provide separate affidavits in each case on non-judicial stamp paper, but he seeks three weeks' time to comply with the order of the Bench. Accordingly, the PIO is given one month' time to do the needful.

7. With the aforesaid directions and the assurance given by the representative of PIO, **the instant appeal case is disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline No.0172-2864100(10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Taranjit Singh,
R/o H.No.33, Adarsh Nagar
Tarehti, PO Shahpur Kandi
District Pathankot.

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

First Appellate Authority-cum-
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

....Respondents

AC No.2037 of 2020

Present: (i) **Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant.**
(ii) **Shri ASI Ashwani Kumar, I/c RTI, O/o SSP Pathankot
on behalf of the PIO.**

ORDER:

(Heard Through Video Conferencing)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 12.10.2020 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.
2. The case has been heard today through V.C. in D.C. Office, Pathankot. Shri Taranjit Singh, Appellant comes present to attend the hearing through V.C.
3. ASI Ashwani Kumar, ASI, Incharge, RTI O/o SSP Pathankot comes present to attend the hearing. He states that as per direction of Bench, the appellant was called to their office and was shown all the relevant records. After inspection of the records, he was supplied the information identified by him to his satisfaction. He further states that an affidavit has also been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 14.12.2020.
4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant accepts having received the information and states that he was shown certain official documents related to the case. He further states that the PIO has provided him one affidavit in all the five cases and that too, on a simple paper whereas it should have been a separate affidavit for each appeal case on a non-judicial stamp paper.

Cont..P-2



-2-

AC No.2037/2020

5. The Bench observes that the contention of the appellant regarding a single affidavit being given in all five appeal cases on a simple paper not being acceptable, is correct. Post deliberations with the representative of PIO as well as the appellant, the PIO is directed to file a fresh affidavit on a non judicial stamp paper in the instant appeal case separately duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.2037/2020 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original copy of affidavit be sent to the appellant and photocopy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

6. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of the PIO assures to provide separate affidavits in each case on non-judicial stamp paper, but he seeks three weeks' time to comply with the order of the Bench. Accordingly, the PIO is given one month' time to do the needful.

7. With the aforesaid directions and the assurance given by the representative of PIO, **the instant appeal case is disposed off.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,
SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.**

Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Helpline NO.0172-2864100 (10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)



Shri Ashok Kumar Watts,
House No.B-V-1534,
Street No.1, Lajpat Nagar,
Abohar, District Fazilka.

Versus

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer
O/o Municipal Corporation,
Abohar, District Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation,
Abohar, District Fazilka.

....Respondents

AC No.4040 of 2020

Present: (i) **Appellant - absent**
(ii) **Ms. Sonal Bajaj, Building Inspector, Municipal Corporation, Abohar, District Fazilka.**

ORDER:

Heard through Video Conferencing

1. The RTI application is dated 04.09.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 05.10.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.12.2020 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).
2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 03.03.2021 by the Commission through V.C. in D.C. Office, Fazilka. Accordingly, the case has been heard today through V.C.
3. Ms. Sonal Bajaj, Building Inspector, O/o Municipal Corporation, Abohar comes present to attend the hearing on behalf of the PIO. She states that the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant on 09.02.2020 and the appellant has acknowledged the receipt of information.
4. Shri Ashok Kumar Watts, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing. However, a letter dated 12.02.2021 is available in the case file vide which he has confirmed the receipt of information and has also given his consent to dispose off the appeal case and close the case.



-2-

AC No.4040/2020

5. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left in the matter. **The appeal case is disposed off and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

**Chandigarh
03.03.2021**

**(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma,(Retd))
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

